

Blending research and teaching through high-altitude balloon projects

John Nordlie

Regional Weather Information Center
University of North Dakota

2011 June 24

The Problem

- Teaching students concepts involved in designing, constructing, launching, and operating spacecraft and space systems
 - Mechanical
 - Electrical
 - Thermal
 - Power generation
 - Stabilization
 - Software

Access to space

- Mission design
- Spacecraft design, construction, and testing
- Launch cost
- Ground control cost
- Timeline vs. student availability



Access to space

- Regularity of access
 - Annual/semester courses

For many institutions, access to space is too costly to be practical



“Paper spacecraft”

- Design-only approach: spacecraft never leaves the drawing board
 - Good for high-level concepts, overall system design, overall mission design
 - First step to provide framework within which to carry the concept further
 - Very low cost



“Paper spacecraft”

- Considered ‘too academic’ by some
- No exposure to real-world problems like
 - Debugging
 - Unexpected systems interactions
 - Assembly problems
 - Thermal control
 - Component behavior vs. specs

With “paper spacecraft” students don’t get some of the most valuable real-world experience that would teach them what to expect when they start building real spacecraft.



Simulated spacecraft

- Two basic types
 - Software-only simulations
 - Spacecraft attitude control, thermal control, power generation, communications
 - Teaches a very important lesson: writing good software is a non-trivial task
 - Inexpensive: requires only general purpose computers



Simulated spacecraft

- Drawbacks to the software-only approach
 - Similar to “paper spacecraft”, level of abstraction is high
 - May seem overly technical and/or arbitrary to students
 - Does not expose students to mechanical and electrical design and construction issues and interactions



Simulated spacecraft

- Hardware-based
 - Actually constructing a spacecraft bus
 - Exposes students to designing, building, wiring, programming, and running a spacecraft
 - Optionally exposing the spacecraft to simulated elements of the space environment
 - Vacuum
 - Temperature

Simulated spacecraft

- Hardware-based pros
 - Cheaper than launching
 - Exposure to real-world systems performance
 - Less “launch pressure”
- Cons
 - Not space
 - Limited science payload applicability



The near-space alternative

- High altitude unmanned balloons
 - Many similarities to space
 - Partial Vacuum
 - Cold temps
 - Ionizing radiation
 - Solar insolation



Near-space

- Inexpensive access compared to rocketry or high-altitude aircraft
- Provides an environment where actual scientific studies may be performed
 - Upper-air chemistry
 - Dust collection
 - Radiation measurements
 - Radio propagation

UND High Altitude Balloon Project

- Started in 1998
 - John Graham and John Nordlie, Space Studies Department
 - Pilot project
 - Self-funded
 - No experience
 - AMSAT balloon work
 - Edge Of Space Sciences (EOSS) – invaluable!

UND HABP

- Student volunteers
 - Physics, EE, ME, Space Studies, etc.
 - First experience with high-altitude balloons, electronics, radio communications, tracking, weather, and federal regulations
 - FAR 101: what do they mean!?



UND HABP

- Radio amateurs
 - Strong interest from local hams
 - Invaluable experience and advice
 - Extensive 'fox-hunting' experience



First Launch

- “Baby steps”: realistic mission objectives
 - Build, launch and track payload
 - Radio transmitter
 - Flight computer
 - Send tracking signal on radio
 - Trigger camera
 - Run dust collector experiment
 - Power
 - Parachute

- Successful launch and tracking
 - GSE and procedures
- Ran out of battery power before burst
 - Power system design flaw
 - Insufficient testing
- Never recovered
- Considered valid proof-of-concept
 - Additional funding and student interest

Additional launches

- 1999 – 2011: 40+ flights, 90%+ recovery
- Switch to APRS tracking
- Refined filling and launch techniques
- Payloads:
 - Film cameras
 - Radio propagation and repeating
 - Video camera

- Air samplers
- Plant seeds
- Plantlets
- Unexposed film
- Dust collectors
- Aerogel micrometeorite collector
- Model rocket



Student involvement

- Student-designed systems:
 - Temperature logging
 - Ionizing radiation logging
 - Cut-down mechanisms
 - Flight computers



Student involvement

- Student-designed spacecraft
 - Microsatellite bus, UND School of Engineering and Mines



Faculty research

- Atomic mercury traps (gold coated sand and air pump)
 - Blaise Mibeck, UND EERC
- Biological payloads (plants)
 - Dr. Vadim Rygalov, UND Space Studies



Transition

- Sure it's fun and all, but what are we trying to accomplish?
- Launch service provider, or learning environment?
- Roles of faculty, staff, and students



Recent work

- Mission design concept rethink
 - Formal systems engineering approach
 - Inclusion in student Senior Project curriculum
 - More meetings, milestones, deliverables, fixed dates
 - Less chaos and frustration, more directed work and better progress

- Role of faculty now seen more as mentors
 - Students learn more, and are more responsible for mission directives, planning, and execution



Challenges

- Keeping a core group with skills and experience
- Funding
- Launch schedules and opportunities
 - ND Climate
 - Weather
 - Summer student availability
 - Launch site availability

Challenges

- Liability insurance
 - How much is enough?
 - What are the risks?



Future work

- Blending Unmanned Aerial Vehicle and ballooning technologies
 - Launch site return
 - Obstacle avoidance
 - Lakes, forests, populated areas
 - ADS-B
- Modular bus system
 - Simplify integration
 - Standard tracking, communication, and control

Questions?

John Nordlie
nordlie@rwic.und.edu
balloons.space.edu/habp

