



Evaluation of Algae-Based Fertilizers on Zoysiagrass Quality and Soil Health

AJ Lindsey—former post-doctorial candidate, Department of Horticulture

Adam Thoms—assistant professor and extension turfgrass specialist, Department of Horticulture

Nick Christians—university professor, Department of Horticulture

Thomas Gould—research scientist, Department of Horticulture

Thomas Donelan—graduate student

Sustainable turfgrass management practices have gained popularity over recent years. Algae has been used to effectively remove and recover nutrient from wastewater. The algae then can be harvested and processed into a sustainable, natural, organic fertilizer. In addition to sustainable management practices, there also has been an interest in improving soil health. Improving soil health can enhance soil structure and water holding capacity, and increase nutrient availability. The components of soil health can be broken down into three categories: physical, chemical, and biological. The objective of this study was to evaluate zoysiagrass (*Zoysia japonica Steud.*) quality and soil health parameters fertilized with an algae-based product.

Materials and Methods

Research was conducted at the Iowa State University Horticulture Research Station in Ames, Iowa. The zoysiagrass was established on a native soil. Turf was maintained at a 3 inch mowing height and received irrigation as needed.

The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replications. Fertilizer treatments included: algae and cellulosic filler (blended), Milorganite, and a non-treated control. The blended and Milorganite were applied at 1 lb. N per 1000 ft.² in August, September, and October

Turfgrass visual quality (1-9, 6 minimally acceptable) was collected weekly starting at the time of the first fertilizer application. Digital images also were collected weekly and analyzed using Turf Analyzer to obtain percent green cover and dark green color index (DGCI). Volumetric soil moisture was measured weekly using a FieldScout TDR Meter with 3 in. probes. Soil samples were collected at the end of the field season to determine nutrient concentrations, pH, CEC, and organic matter (SureTech Laboratories). Soil cores were collected two-weeks after the first fertilizer application and at the end of the field season to measure potentially mineralizable carbon (PMC), which is a way to quantify soil microbial activity. PMC was determined by measuring the CO₂ produced through a soil incubation. The CO₂ concentration was determined using a LiCor-830 CO₂ analyzer. All data was analyzed using SAS at the P≤0.05 level of significance and means separated with Fisher's LSD (least significant difference).

Results and Discussion

Significant differences occurred between treatments for visual turfgrass quality (data not shown), and percent green cover (Table 1). No differences were found between treatments for volumetric soil moisture (data not shown), soil phosphorus and potassium concentrations, pH, cation exchange capacity, organic matter and PMC (Table 1). Overall, blended and Milorganite had increased turfgrass quality and percent green cover. Few other differences were found.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ethan DenBeste and Simon Mitbo for their help with data collection and plot maintenance. Funding for the project was provided by the Regents Innovation Fund and Gross Wen Technology.

Table 1. Effects of an algae-based fertilizer on a native soil zoysiagrass percent green cover (PGC), soil phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) concentrations, pH, organic matter (OM), cation exchange capacity (CEC), and potentially mineralizable carbon (PMC).

Treatment ^a	PGC ^b	P ^c	K	pH	OM	CEC	PMC ^d
	%	mg kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹		%	cmol _c kg ⁻¹	mg CO ₂ -C kg ⁻¹
Blended	84.0	10.0	86.7	6.6	3.8	9.7	230.3
Milorganite	85.3	8.7	88.7	6.4	4.0	10.5	178.9
Nontreated	80.5	7.0	104.7	6.4	3.7	12.1	239.7
LSD _(0.05) ^a	1.9	NS ^f	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

^aTreatments were applied at 1 lb. N per 1000 ft.² in August, September, and October.

^bPGC was determined using digital image analysis.

^cSoil P, K, pH, OM, CEC were determined by soil test conducted by SureTech Laboratories.

^dPMC was determined by measuring the CO₂ production during a 14-day soil incubation.

^eTreatment mean comparisons were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD).

^fNS, nonsignificant at the 0.05 probability level.