

2011

Evaluation of Soybean Varieties Resistant to Soybean Cyst Nematode

Gregory L. Tylka

Iowa State University, gltylka@iastate.edu

Gregory D. Gebhart

Iowa State University, ggebhart@iastate.edu

Christopher C. Marett

Iowa State University, cmarett@iastate.edu

Mark P. Mullaney

Iowa State University, mmullane@iastate.edu

Stith N. Wiggs

Iowa State University, stithw@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Plant Pathology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Tylka, Gregory L.; Gebhart, Gregory D.; Marett, Christopher C.; Mullaney, Mark P.; and Wiggs, Stith N., "Evaluation of Soybean Varieties Resistant to Soybean Cyst Nematode" (2011). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 225.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/225

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Evaluation of Soybean Varieties Resistant to Soybean Cyst Nematode

Abstract

Use of resistant soybean varieties is a very effective strategy for managing soybean cyst nematode (SCN), and numerous SCN-resistant soybean varieties are available for Iowa soybean growers. Each year, public and private SCN-resistant soybean varieties are evaluated in SCN-infested fields in Iowa by Iowa State University personnel. The research described in this report was performed to assess the agronomic performance of SCN-resistant soybean varieties and to determine the effects of the varieties on SCN numbers or population densities.

Keywords

RFR A1061, Plant Pathology and Microbiology

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Plant Pathology

Evaluation of Soybean Varieties Resistant to Soybean Cyst Nematode

RFR-A1061

Gregory Tylka, professor
Gregory Gebhart, ag specialist
Christopher Marett, assistant scientist
Mark Mullaney, research associate
Stith Wiggs, graduate assistant
Department of Plant Pathology

Introduction

Use of resistant soybean varieties is a very effective strategy for managing soybean cyst nematode (SCN), and numerous SCN-resistant soybean varieties are available for Iowa soybean growers. Each year, public and private SCN-resistant soybean varieties are evaluated in SCN-infested fields in Iowa by Iowa State University personnel. The research described in this report was performed to assess the agronomic performance of SCN-resistant soybean varieties and to determine the effects of the varieties on SCN numbers or population densities.

Materials and Methods

Plots were four 17-ft-long rows spaced 30 in. apart and were planted at 10 seeds/ft, with four replications per variety. All plots were end trimmed to a length of 14 ft on September 9. Maturity was recorded as the number of days after August 31 that a variety was considered mature. A variety was considered mature when 95 percent of the pods had turned brown. Just prior to harvest, average plant height and lodging (1 = all plants fully erect, 5 = all plants flat) were assessed in each plot. For each location, the center two rows of each four-row plot were harvested with a plot combine, total seed weight per plot and seed moisture were determined, and total plot seed weights subsequently were converted to bushels/acre. Resistant varieties and susceptible check varieties are grouped

separately and are listed in the report in order of descending yield.

At the beginning of the growing season, plots were sampled for the presence of SCN. Soil samples, consisting of ten 1-in.-diameter, 6- to 8-in.-deep soil cores, were collected from the center 14 ft of the center two rows of each plot immediately after planting. SCN cysts were extracted from each soil sample, and SCN eggs were extracted from the cysts and counted. SCN egg population densities also were determined for each plot at the end of the growing season in an identical manner.

All varieties also were field tested for tolerance to iron deficiency chlorosis (IDC). Each variety was planted in a hill plot consisting of five seeds/hill, with two replications per variety, at two high pH field locations. Notes were taken for IDC symptoms at each location approximately four weeks after planting and again at five weeks after planting. Varieties were rated on a scale of 1 to 5 with a 1 indicating no symptoms of IDC present and a 5 indicating plant death due to IDC. The scores from each location then were averaged together and an overall rating was assigned to each variety.

Results and Discussion

The results of the experiments convincingly illustrate the benefits of utilizing SCN-resistant soybean varieties for management of this important soybean pest. Most of the soybean varieties with SCN resistance had greater yields than susceptible varieties, and end-of-season SCN population densities were significantly greater in plots where susceptible varieties were grown relative to plots planted with resistant varieties. This work was funded, in part, by soybean checkoff funds from the Iowa Soybean Association.

Table 1. Agronomic performance and SCN reproduction data of glyphosate-resistant soybean varieties.

Brand	Variety	Relative maturity	Resistance	IDC	Maturity date	Height (in.)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/acre)	Yield rank	SCN (/100cc soil) ¹	RF ²
Willcross	WC 2350N	3.5	PI 88788	4.0	25	42.0	1.9	56.8	1	825	2.4
Stine	3522-4	3.5	PI 88788	4.1	25	40.0	2.1	56.1	2	950	1.5
LATHAM	L3858R	3.8	PI 88788	3.3	28	41.0	1.6	53.5	3	2,975	4.8
Pioneer	93Y51	3.5	PI 88788	3.8	23	39.3	1.3	53.0	4	925	1.7
Kruger	K2-3402	3.4	PI 88788	3.4	22	42.3	1.5	52.9	5	1,475	2.3
Pioneer	93Y40	3.4	PI 88788	4.0	20	40.8	1.5	52.6	6	500	0.4
Pioneer	93Y13	3.1	Peking	3.4	18	35.8	1.4	52.3	7	650	1.4
MERSCHMAN	KENNEDY 1036RR2Y	3.6	PI 88788	3.5	23	43.8	1.6	52.2	8	1,675	4.5
LATHAM	L2885R2	2.8	PI 88788	3.9	15	37.5	1.1	51.7	9	925	2.3
Stine	3132-4	3.1	PI 88788	3.5	15	35.8	1.5	51.5	10	2,125	5.0
Willcross	WC 2381N	3.8	PI 88788	3.0	28	38.5	1.5	51.1	11	2,225	3.3
Mycogen	5N340RR	3.4	PI 88788	3.6	21	38.5	1.5	51.1	11	825	1.7
ASGROW	AG3431	3.4	PI 88788	3.8	20	37.3	1.5	51.0	13	800	1.6
ASGROW	AG3330	3.3	PI 88788	3.5	20	41.8	1.5	50.8	14	950	2.1
ASGROW	AG3131	3.1	PI 88788	3.6	17	38.3	1.6	50.5	15	850	1.5
Prairie Brand	PB-3442R2	3.2	PI 88788	3.7	22	40.5	1.4	50.5	15	1,225	1.9
ASGROW	AG3030	3.0	PI 88788	3.8	16	37.3	1.8	50.3	17	1,075	2.7
Stine	30RA22	3.0	PI 88788	3.7	18	37.8	1.8	50.2	18	1,675	1.9
Lewis Hybrids	351R2	3.5	PI 88788	3.6	21	42.0	1.4	50.0	19	1,425	1.3
NK	S31-L7 Brand	3.1	PI 88788	3.6	17	40.3	1.5	50.0	19	850	1.4
Pioneer	94Y01	3.5	PI 88788	3.8	27	43.0	1.6	49.5	21	1,125	2.0
NK	S35-T9 Brand	3.5	PI 88788	3.8	24	42.3	1.9	49.3	22	1,125	2.0
NK	S34-R2 Brand	3.4	PI 88788	3.0	24	39.3	1.4	49.0	23	850	2.4
NK	S30-F5 Brand	3.0	PI 88788	4.1	13	38.8	1.6	48.6	24	1,025	1.1
	Mean	3.7	-	3.7	21	39.3	1.6	48.2	-	1,178	2.2
	LSD ³ (P = 0.05)	-	-	-	-	3.5	0.3	5.2	-	1,140	-
	LSD ³ (P = 0.10)	-	-	-	-	2.9	0.3	4.3	-	953	-
<i>Asgrow</i>	<i>AG3005</i>	3.0	<i>None</i>	3.8	17	36.3	1.6	40.8	40	13,525	45.1
<i>Pioneer</i>	<i>93Y10</i>	3.1	<i>None</i>	3.6	15	36.8	1.5	39.8	41	6,525	21.8
<i>NK</i>	<i>S36-B6 Brand</i>	3.6	<i>None</i>	3.9	24	36.8	1.4	36.6	44	13,225	29.4
	Mean	3.2	-	3.8	19	36.6	1.5	39.0	-	11,092	32.1

Values presented in tables are means. Entries are listed in decreasing order of yield.

Due to space constraints, only the highest yielding varieties are shown here. In addition, a conventional herbicide test was also performed. The complete report may be found at www.isuscvarietytrials.info.

Italicized entries are widely grown SCN-susceptible varieties entered by Iowa State University for comparison purposes.

¹Final SCN egg population density (eggs/100 cc soil); there were no significant differences among initial SCN population densities; initial SCN population 586 eggs/100 cc soil; HG Type 2.7 (16.9% on PI 88788, 0.2% on Peking).

²Average final SCN egg population density/average initial SCN egg population density.

³Least significant difference: values are from Fisher's least significant difference test, NS = no significant differences among the varieties.