

2001

Sequential Grazing Systems for Beef Cattle Production

Kenneth J. Moore

Iowa State University, kjmoore@iastate.edu

James R. Russell

Iowa State University, jrussell@iastate.edu

M. H. Wiedenhoef

Iowa State University

E. Charles Brummer

Iowa State University

Roger L. Hintz

Iowa State University, rhintz@iastate.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Moore, Kenneth J.; Russell, James R.; Wiedenhoef, M. H.; Brummer, E. Charles; Hintz, Roger L.; Secor, L. James; and Maxwell, Dennis R., "Sequential Grazing Systems for Beef Cattle Production" (2001). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1751.
http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/1751

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Sequential Grazing Systems for Beef Cattle Production

Abstract

Pasture productivity in Iowa is often limited by low productivity of cool-season grasses during summer. This uneven seasonal distribution of forage production could be improved by including species in pasture systems that perform better under higher temperatures. Warm-season grasses produce most of their growth during summer when cool-season grasses are semi-dormant. By using cool-season and warm-season pastures in a sequential system it should be possible to improve seasonal productivity. The overall objective of this project is to evaluate the productivity of sequential grazing systems for beef cattle production in Southern Iowa. Specific objectives are to: 1) evaluate the impact of legumes on the productivity of cool-season pastures grazed in the spring and fall, 2) evaluate warm-season grasses for summer grazing, and 3) determine the effects of pasture sequence on the productivity of season-long grazing systems.

Keywords

Animal Science

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Animal Sciences

Authors

Kenneth J. Moore, James R. Russell, M. H. Wiedenhoft, E. Charles Brummer, Roger L. Hintz, L. James Secor, and Dennis R. Maxwell

Sequential Grazing Systems for Beef Cattle Production

K. J. Moore, M. H. Wiedenhoft, E. C. Brummer,
J. R. Russell, professors
Roger Hintz, research associate
Jim Secor and Dennis Maxwell, specialists

Introduction

Pasture productivity in Iowa is often limited by low productivity of cool-season grasses during summer. This uneven seasonal distribution of forage production could be improved by including species in pasture systems that perform better under higher temperatures. Warm-season grasses produce most of their growth during summer when cool-season grasses are semi-dormant. By using cool-season and warm-season pastures in a sequential system it should be possible to improve seasonal productivity.

The overall objective of this project is to evaluate the productivity of sequential grazing systems for beef cattle production in Southern Iowa. Specific objectives are to: 1) evaluate the impact of legumes on the productivity of cool-season pastures grazed in the spring and fall, 2) evaluate warm-season grasses for summer grazing, and 3) determine the effects of pasture sequence on the productivity of season-long grazing systems.

Materials and Methods

Eight sequential and four continuous grazing systems are being evaluated to determine the impacts of legumes and warm-season grasses on season-long productivity of grazing systems. Pastures were established at the McNay Research Farm (40° 55' N, 93° 20' W) near Chariton, Iowa on a Grundy-Haig soil. Smooth bromegrass (*Bromus inermis* Leyss. cv. Bounty) was planted into twelve 3-acre pastures in early spring 1996. At the same time birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus* L. cv. Norcen), alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L. cv. Alfagraze), and kura clover (*Trifolium ambiguum* Bieb. cv. Rhizo) were each planted into three of the pastures. All seeding was

done into dead sod using a no-till drill. Seeding rates were 12 lb/acre for smooth bromegrass, 5 lb/acre for birdsfoot trefoil, and 8 lb/acre for alfalfa and kura clover. Pastures were blocked by soil characteristics such that each legume treatment and a control (N-fertilized) pasture occurs in each of three blocks. Big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii* Vitman cv. Roundtree) and switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L. cv. Cave-in-Rock) were established into an adjacent set of six 4.5-acre pastures during the summer of 1994 using corn as a companion crop. Big bluestem was seeded at 8.0 lb/acre and switchgrass was seeded at 5.5 lb/acre, both with corn at a population of 15,000 plants/acre.

The grazing systems were designed on the basis of a fixed seasonal carrying capacity and pastures were stocked with growing cattle throughout the 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000 grazing seasons. Stocking densities for cool-season pastures were 2 animals per acre during the spring and fall grazing seasons, and 0.7 animals per acre during the summer. Warm-season pastures were stocked with 1.8 animals per acre. Animals were weighed at approximately 4-week intervals during the grazing period to determine performance achieved from each component of the system. Grazing of cool-season pastures began 13 May each year, and cattle were rotated to summer pastures based on grazing readiness of warm-season grasses. Two steers remained on cool-season pasture throughout the summer grazing period to serve as a control and to evaluate the effects of legumes on summer pasture productivity. At the end of the summer grazing period all cattle were returned to their original pasture for the remainder of the grazing season. Grazing was terminated each year when available forage became limiting. Dates of grazing cool and warm-season pastures are presented in Table 1. The grazing experiment will be continued through the 2001 grazing season.

Results and Discussion

During the first year of grazing (1997), species composition of all cool-season pastures was very diverse and did not represent the desired binary grass-legume mixtures. Apparently, by disturbing the soil and suppressing grass competition, a very diverse legume seed bank was activated. All of the cool-season pastures contained large numbers of legumes species in addition to the intended one. As a consequence, there were no differences in season-long animal performance due to the cool-season pasture grazed initially in the spring (Table 2). However, by the end of that grazing season and in subsequent years the sown legume species became more dominant in the pastures and exerted an effect. In 1998, systems containing kura clover and birdsfoot trefoil produced more total gain for systems in which warm-season grasses were included. However, pastures containing alfalfa produced as well those containing kura clover and birdsfoot trefoil for systems in which cool-season pastures were grazed all season. In 1999 and 2000, systems containing kura clover produced more gain than those containing any other or no legume.

There were large differences in total seasonal gain due to the summer pasture grazed (Table 2). In 1997, those animals that grazed warm-season pastures during the summer gained less weight than those that grazed cool-season pasture for the entire season. Rates of gain for animals grazing warm-season pastures began to level off during the second half of the summer grazing period whereas animals grazing cool-season pasture continued to gain weight during this period. These differences continued into the final grazing period when all cattle were on cool-season pasture. It is not clear why animals that grazed warm-season pasture in the summer failed to recover when moved to cool-season pasture. Apparently, there were carry-over effects related to adaptation of their digestive systems to the relatively low quality summer pastures. Growing conditions in 1997 were cool and wet and therefore very

conducive to growth of cool-season species. In 1998, animals grazing big bluestem pastures during summer performed as well or better than those remaining on cool-season pastures at a lower stocking rate. Production from switchgrass pastures was improved in 1999 by removing initial spring growth as hay prior to the summer grazing period. Because of a very dry spring in 2000, cattle were rotated to warm-season pastures almost a month earlier than in previous years. However, moisture conditions improved mid season and cattle were returned to cool-season pasture in late July where they remained until the end of the grazing season. Substantial regrowth of warm-season pastures occurred during the later part of the season that could have been used as either pasture or hay. However, we decided to allow the growth to stand so that pastures could be burned in the spring of 2001 to help control encroaching weed populations.

One of the more striking results of this experiment to date is the large impact that year has on performance of the various systems. Most of this variation is due to differences in temperature and precipitation among years. The productivity of the species included in the study has been variable with respect to prevailing climatic conditions with different combinations of species producing the highest gains in each of the grazing seasons. This suggests that the stability of grazing systems over time might be improved by including a higher diversity of species. However, with each year, it is becoming increasingly evident that kura clover should be included as a legume species regardless of the grazing sequence followed.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported in part by a grant (Project # 2000-06) from the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture and the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station (Project # 2899).

Table 1. Dates and number of days cool- and warm-season pastures were grazed in 1997, 1998, and 1999.

Sequence	1997	1998	1999	2000
Start	13-May	13-May	13-May	11-May
→WS	10-Jul	24-Jun	8-Jul	5-Jun
→CS	3-Sep	18-Aug	19-Aug	20-Jul
End	1-Oct	18-Sep	23-Sep	26-Sep
Total Days	141	128	133	138
CS	86	72	91	93
WS	55	56	42	45

Table 2. Total, cool-season, and warm-season liveweight gains of cattle grazing various sequences of cool and warm-season pastures.

Initial Pasture ¹	Summer Pasture ²	Total Gain				Cool-Season Gain				Warm-Season Gain			
		1997	1998	1999	2000	1997	1998	1999	2000	1997	1998	1999	2000
----- lb / animal -----													
SB	BB	241	209	194	203	139	143	126	106	102	66	68	97
SB	SG	247	177	222	198	167	140	141	140	80	37	81	57
SB	CS	283	202	227	223	204	116	144	151	79	86	83	72
SB+BT	BB	243	236	191	184	161	148	132	110	82	88	59	74
SB+BT	SG	226	190	195	171	147	135	134	109	79	55	61	62
SB+BT	CS	298	238	208	206	192	166	138	125	106	72	70	82
SB+KC	BB	275	258	215	247	179	176	162	171	96	82	53	76
SB+KC	SG	230	192	257	209	147	156	186	167	83	36	71	42
SB+KC	CS	281	243	256	284	169	169	164	186	112	74	92	97
SB+A	BB	236	192	209	213	156	124	163	141	80	68	46	72
SB+A	SG	241	177	223	206	156	112	157	142	85	65	66	64
SB+A	CS	291	238	226	222	183	159	168	133	108	79	58	89

¹ SB = smooth bromegrass, BT = birdsfoot trefoil, KC = kura clover, A = alfalfa.

² BB = big bluestem, SG = switchgrass, CS = cattle remained on cool-season pasture.