

2005

Food Grade Soybean Variety Evaluation Studies

James Jensen

Iowa State University, jensenjh@iastate.edu

Kenneth T. Pecinovsky

Iowa State University, kennethp@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), and the [Agriculture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Jensen, James and Pecinovsky, Kenneth T., "Food Grade Soybean Variety Evaluation Studies" (2005). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1233.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/1233

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Food Grade Soybean Variety Evaluation Studies

Abstract

The ISU Northeast Research Farm has been evaluating food grade soybean varieties for the last three years including the new Iowa State low linolenic soybean varieties. The “low lin” soybeans have lower levels of linolenic acid, which reduces or eliminates the need for partial hydrogenation, a process used to extend freshness of food products and the frying life of conventional cooking oils. The partial hydrogenation process results in the formation of trans fatty acids, that are linked to heart disease, because they elevate LDL (bad) cholesterol while lowering HDL (good) cholesterol. In 2004, only two new low linolenic soybean varieties were available in the 2.7 and 3.1 maturity range. New varieties with 1% linolenic acid are currently being produced in soybean breeding programs and will be available with improved plant characteristics and adapted to a wider geographical area for 2005. Premiums are designed to cover yield drag, identity preservation cost, and the higher value of food grade soybean products. Producers will need performance data to determine whether the premium offered for growing the new soybeans is adequate.

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture

Food Grade Soybean Variety Evaluation Studies

James Jensen, farm management specialist
ISU Extension

Ken Pecinovsky, farm superintendent

Introduction

The ISU Northeast Research Farm has been evaluating food grade soybean varieties for the last three years including the new Iowa State low linolenic soybean varieties. The “low lin” soybeans have lower levels of linolenic acid, which reduces or eliminates the need for partial hydrogenation, a process used to extend freshness of food products and the frying life of conventional cooking oils. The partial hydrogenation process results in the formation of trans fatty acids, that are linked to heart disease, because they elevate LDL (bad) cholesterol while lowering HDL (good) cholesterol. In 2004, only two new low linolenic soybean varieties were available in the 2.7 and 3.1 maturity range. New varieties with 1% linolenic acid are currently being produced in soybean breeding programs and will be available with improved plant characteristics and adapted to a wider geographical area for 2005. Premiums are designed to cover yield drag, identity preservation cost, and the higher value of food grade soybean products. Producers will need performance data to determine whether the premium offered for growing the new soybeans is adequate.

Materials and Methods

The soil consisted of a Readlyn loam in all three years of the studies and pH, P₂O₅, and K₂O levels were all in the optimum ranges. The experimental design was a completely randomized block with three replications and plots were 15 × 100 ft. The previous crops were corn. The studies were all in a conventional tillage system (fall chisel plowed and spring field cultivated prior to planting). Soybean varieties were planted 1.5 in. deep on May 17, 2002 and 2004, and May 23, 2003, at 189,417

seeds/acre, in 30-in. rows. The 2004 plot was sprayed on July 2 with 14 oz/acre Select, 4.0 oz/acre Pursuit, 0.125 oz/acre Pinnacle, 3.0 oz/acre Cobra, 0.25% V/V Activator 90 (non-ionic surfactant), and 32 oz/acre 28% nitrogen. The 2002 and 2003 plots used multiple-row cultivations to control weeds without the use of herbicides. Plots were machine harvested for yield on October 16, 2002, September 27, 2003, and October 6, 2004.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the food grade soybean varieties tested and year evaluated at Nashua, Iowa. 2004 was a good year for soybean yields, especially when compared with the low yields experienced in 2003 due to the late-season drought and economically damaging soybean yields caused by aphid infestations. No appreciable damage was observed due to weather, disease, or insects in 2004. The two low linolenic soybean varieties performed differently. The late-maturing IA 3017, although high yielding, had significantly higher harvest moisture when compared with the plot average and would not be suitable for planting in northeast Iowa, unless very early planting dates and an optimal heat unit accumulation occurred. The 1% linolenic soybean varieties did not appear to carry any yield drag when compared with other comparable food grade varieties at Nashua. The HP 204 and Vinton 81 soybean varieties yielded 4–8 bushels/acre less when compared with other food grade soybean varieties tested from 2002 to 2004. Improved varieties are presently being grown in Argentina to replace both the IA 2064 and IA 3017. They have shown improved yields in separate plot tests. Weed control was excellent in all three years of testing, despite no herbicide application in 2002 and 2003. Average protein and oil percentages for all varieties combined were 39.3 and 17.0, respectively (Table 2). Protein levels were about 3.0% higher and oil levels about 1.0% lower for

this test compared with the average of the Roundup Ready® and conventional soybean varieties tested in the 2004 Iowa Crop Performance Test (northern Iowa district).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the ISU Agronomy Department, ISU Grain Quality Laboratory, Monsanto Company, and Pattison Bros. for their cooperation on this research project.

Table 1. Yields of food grade soybean varieties grown at Nashua, IA (2002–2004).

Variety	RM*	2004	2004	2003	2003	2002	2002
		%H ₂ O	bu/ac	%H ₂ O	bu/ac	%H ₂ O	bu/ac
IA 1010	(1.9)	11.9	57.6	13.5	32.3	13.8	46.6
IA 1011	(1.9)	11.1	48.2	12.7	29.8	13.5	42.9
IA 1013	(1.9)	11.4	47.3	12.6	33.5		
IA 1014	(1.9)	11.3	51.2	13.2	29.2		
IA 2053	(2.6)	11.2	54.3	12.9	29.9	13.4	45.9
IA 2064	(2.7)	11.2	52.4				
IA 3017	(3.1)	18.3	57.0				
Pattison 7321	(2.1)	11.0	52.3				
Asgrow 2247	(2.2)	10.8	57.3	12.8	32.5		
HP 204	(2.3)	11.3	44.9	13.0	28.6	13.9	39.1
Vinton 81	(2.3)	11.2	44.6	13.3	25.2	13.8	39.2

*Relative maturity zone

Table 2. Grain composition of food grade soybean varieties grown at Nashua, IA (2004).

Variety	2004	2004	2004	Soybean variety characteristics
	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Fiber (%)	
IA 1010	38.0	16.5	4.9	Large seed
IA 1011	37.8	16.8	4.9	Large seed
IA 1013	40.3	17.3	4.5	Large seed and high protein
IA 1014	41.0	17.6	4.5	Large seed and high protein
IA 2053	40.2	16.3	4.6	Large seed and high protein
IA 2064	38.9	17.6	4.7	1% low linolenic
IA 3017	37.7	17.2	4.8	1% low linolenic
Pattison 7321	40.8	16.5	4.5	High protein
Asgrow 2247	37.3	17.8	4.7	High yield and protein
HP 204	39.9	16.7	4.6	Large seed and high protein
Vinton 81	40.4	16.4	4.6	Large seed and high protein
Average	39.3	17.0	4.7	