

2008

Long-term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Yield and Soil Carbon

Mahdi Al-Kaisi

Iowa State University, malkaisi@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Al-Kaisi, Mahdi, "Long-term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Yield and Soil Carbon" (2008). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 800.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/800

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Long-term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Yield and Soil Carbon

Abstract

Tillage system and crop rotation have long-term effects on soil productivity and soil quality components such as soil carbon and other soil physical, biological, and chemical properties. In addition, both tillage and crop rotation have effects on weed and soil disease control. There is a definite need for well-defined, long-term tillage and crop rotation studies across the different soils and climate conditions in the state. The objective of this study was to evaluate the long-term effects of different tillage systems and crop rotations on soil productivity.

Keywords

Agronomy

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences

Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Yield and Soil Carbon

Mahdi Al-Kaisi, associate professor
Department of Agronomy

Introduction

Tillage system and crop rotation have long-term effects on soil productivity and soil quality components such as soil carbon and other soil physical, biological, and chemical properties. In addition, both tillage and crop rotation have effects on weed and soil disease control. There is a definite need for well-defined, long-term tillage and crop rotation studies across the different soils and climate conditions in the state. The objective of this study was to evaluate the long-term effects of different tillage systems and crop rotations on soil productivity.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted on eight Iowa State University Research and Demonstration Farms in 2002. Treatments include five tillage systems (no-tillage, strip-tillage, chisel plow, deep ripper, and moldboard plow) and two crop rotations of corn-corn-soybean and corn-soybean across the five tillage systems and several soil associations. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with four replications. Initial soil samples were collected in 2002 prior to implementing the tillage treatments. The soil samples were collected from all sites for depths 0–6, 6–12, 12–18, and 18–24 in. and will be analyzed for total carbon and total nitrogen. Subsequent soil samples were collected in 2004 from all sites for depths 0–6, 6–12, 12–18, and 18–24 in. and will be analyzed for total carbon and total nitrogen.

The plot size is 8 rows by 80 ft. Yield was determined from the center three rows of each

corn plot and five rows of each soybean plot. Long-term effect of tillage and crop rotation on total soil carbon and total nitrogen will be monitored on a bi-yearly basis. Seasonal measurements such as nitrogen use efficiency, soil bulk density, and infiltration rate will be conducted on selected sites depending on availability of funding.

Results and Discussion

The average corn yield across all tillage systems for the corn-soybean rotation in 2007 was 169.2 bushels/acre, which is lower from previous years (Table 1). The only difference for 2007 was that moldboard plow yield was higher than all other tillage systems.

The average soybean yield across all tillage systems for the corn-soybean rotation in 2007 was 59.1 bushels/acre (Table 1). In 2007, soybean yields were not statistically different for all tillage systems. This is similar to soybean yield response from previous years.

The average second-year corn yields across all tillage systems for the corn-corn-soybean rotation in 2006 were 205.9 bushels/acre (Table 2). Moldboard plow yields were statistically greater than chisel plow, strip-tillage, and deep rip treatments. Additionally, no-tillage yields were statistically greater than the chisel plow treatment.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Kevin Van Dee for his time and labor for plot setup, planting, and harvesting.

Table 1. Corn and soybean yields under a corn-soybean rotation at the ISU Southeast Research Farm. Yields are corrected to 15.5 and 13.0% for corn and soybean, respectively.

	Corn (C/s)					Soybean (c/S)				
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
	-----bushels/acre-----									
No-tillage	212.8	180.0	171.3	189.1	159.3	38.7	55.1	71.8	56.8	59.4
Strip-tillage	205.9	190.7	168.3	182.1	161.1	39.5	55.9	69.8	55.1	58.9
Deep rip	209.7	200.2	171.0	185.7	170.8	42.2	57.7	70.2	56.0	59.6
Chisel plow	211.6	207.9	177.4	184.6	168.8	40.6	55.7	69.5	58.5	57.5
Moldboard plow	202.7	214.1	179.2	209.3	185.9	41.7	58.3	69.8	64.6	60.1
LSD _(0.05) ^a	16.1	22.8	13.9	25.0	14.8	3.2	3.3	5.4	4.2	3.5
5-tillage average	208.5	198.6	173.4	190.2	169.2	40.5	56.5	70.2	58.2	59.1

^aLeast significant differences (LSD_(0.05)) are based on a Fisher test. Yield differences greater than the least significant difference are statistically different.

Table 2. Corn and soybean yields under a corn-corn-soybean rotation at the ISU Southeast Research Farm. Yields are corrected to 15.5 and 13.0% for corn and soybean, respectively.

	Corn (C-c-s)		Corn (c-C-s)		Soybean (c-c-S)	
	2005		2003	2006	2004	2007
	-----bushels/acre-----					
No-tillage	165.6		129.8	208.3	57.6	64.1
Strip-tillage	158.8		149.2	205.4	59.7	64.0
Deep rip	163.9		146.1	201.0	60.0	62.7
Chisel plow	163.3		157.7	196.4	59.8	60.2
Moldboard plow	164.3		149.4	218.4	58.8	63.2
LSD _(0.05) ^a	8.6		25.6	10.6	2.6	2.6
5-tillage average	163.2		146.4	205.9	59.2	62.8

^aLeast significant differences (LSD_(0.05)) are based on a Fisher test. Yield differences greater than the least significant difference are statistically different.